

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.:

062891.0478

SERIAL NO.

09/513,592



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


In re Application of: Pulin R. Patel et al.  
Serial No.: 09/513,592  
Filed: February 25, 2000  
Group No.: 2682  
Examiner: Eugene Yun  
Notice of Allowance Mailed: December 14, 2005  
Confirmation No.: 7283  
Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BROKERING BANDWIDTH IN  
A WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK

**Mail Stop ISSUE FEE**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL**

I hereby certify that the attached Issue Fee Transmittal (PTOL-85) ( one (1) page) and Comments on Allowance are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on this 10th day of March 2006 addressed to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Willie Jiles

Express Mail Receipt  
No. EV 322276076 US



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  
08891.0478

1

PATENT APPLICATION  
09/513,592

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Pulin R. Patel et al.  
Serial No.: 09/513,592  
Filed: February 25, 2000  
Group No.: 2682  
Examiner: Eugene Yun  
Notice of Allowance Mailed: December 14, 2005  
Confirmation No.: 7283  
Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BROKERING BANDWIDTH IN  
A WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK


**Mail Stop Issue Fee**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**RESPONSE TO REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of Claims 1-25, 46-70 and 88-92. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.104, Applicants respectfully issue a statement commenting on the Examiner's reasons for allowance. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's reasons for allowance to the extent that they are inconsistent with applicable case law, statutes, and regulations. Furthermore, Applicants do not admit to any characterization or limitation of the claims or to any characterization of a reference by the Examiner, particularly any that are inconsistent with the language of the claims considered in their entirety and including all of their constituent limitations.

Respectfully submitted,  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Applicants

  
Bradley P. Williams  
Registration No. 40,227

Date:  3/10/06

CUSTOMER NO. 05073